Acta Crystallographica Section C Crystal Structure Communications

ISSN 0108-2701

Three monoalkoxy-substituted nidoplatinaboranes: $[(PPh_3)_2PtB_{10}H_{11}-8-(OCH_3)]$, $[(PPh_3)_2PtB_{10}H_{11}-8-{OCH-(CH_3)_2}]$ and $[(PPh_3)_2PtB_{10}H_{10}-9-{OCH(CH_3)_2}]$

Yong Nie,^a Chun-Hua Hu,^a Xue Li,^a Wei Yong,^a Jian-Min Dou,^b Jie Sun,^c Ruo-Shui Jin^d and Pei-Ju Zheng^a*

^aResearch Centre of Analysis and Measurement, Fudan University, Shanghai 200433, People's Republic of China, ^bDepartment of Chemistry, Liaocheng Teachers' University, Liaocheng 252000, People's Republic of China, ^cShanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry, Chinese Academy of Science, Shanghai 200032, People's Republic of China, and ^dDepartment of Chemistry, Fudan University, Shanghai 200433, People's Republic of China

Correspondence e-mail: pjzheng2001@yahoo.com.cn

Received 26 March 2001 Accepted 10 May 2001

Each of the title compounds, 8-methoxy-7,7-bis(triphenylphosphine-P)-8,9:10,11-di-µH-7-platina-nido-undecaborane dichloromethane hemisolvate, $[Pt(CH_{14}B_{10}O)(C_{18}H_{15}P)_2]$. 0.5CH₂Cl₂, (I), 8-isopropoxy-7,7-bis(triphenylphosphine-*P*)-8,9:10,11-di-*µH*-7-platina-*nido*-undecaborane dichloromethane solvate, $[Pt(C_3H_{18}B_{10}O)(C_{18}H_{15}P)_2] \cdot CH_2Cl_2$, (II), and 9-isopropoxy-7,7-bis(triphenylphosphine-P)-8,9:10,11-di- μ H-7-platina-*nido*-undecaborane dichloromethane solvate, $[Pt(C_3H_{18}B_{10}O)(C_{18}H_{15}P)_2] \cdot CH_2Cl_2$, (III), has an 11-vertex nido polyhedral skeleton, with the 7-platinum centre ligating to two exo-polyhedral PPh3 groups and an alkoxy-substituted polyhedral borane ligand. Compounds (II) and (III) are isomers. The Pt-B distances are in the range 2.214(7)-2.303 (7) Å for (I), 2.178 (16)–2.326 (16) Å for (II) and 2.205 (6)-2.327 (6) Å for (III).

Comment

The polyhedral borane dianion $B_{10}H_{10}^{2-}$ has been extensively studied due to its extreme thermal, hydrolytic and oxidative stability (Mutterties & Knoth, 1968; Kennedy, 1986; Barton & Srivastava, 1995). Although a number of metal derivatives have been prepared, little work has been reported on the cageopening mechanism. Marshall *et al.* (1967) postulated the cage opening of $B_{10}H_{10}^{2-}$ in the anhydrous HCl/diethyl sulfide system as occurring *via* a two-proton attack process on two pairs of apex-to-equatorial B atoms. Paxson & Hawthorne (1975) studied the reaction of PtCl₂(PPh₃)₂ and K₂B₁₀H₁₀ in alcoholic chloroform, and obtained the alkoxy-substituted *nido-*[(PPh₃)₂PtB₁₀H₁₁(OC₂H₅)]; spectroscopic studies showed that the ethoxy group could be at various positions (*i.e.* 2, 3, 8, 9, 10 or 11). Gaft *et al.* (1983) found that the hydrogen-bridged compound [(PPh₃)₂PtB₁₀H₁₀], derived from the reaction of PtI₂(PPh₃)₂ and Ag₂B₁₀H₁₀ in CH₃CN/CH₂Cl₂, could also be transformed in C₂H₅OH into *nido*-[(PPh₃)₂PtB₁₀H₁₁(OC₂H₅)], so that [(PPh₃)₂PtB₁₀H₁₀] could be the intermediate of the reaction system containing B₁₀H₁₀²⁻ and a platinum halide. However, none of these intermediates or their ultimate products have been structurally characterized. To extend the study of the cage-opening mode and the substituent effect on the cluster geometry, we have carried out the same reaction in methanol and isopropyl alcohol, and report here the structures of the three title platinaboranes, *i.e.* (I), (II) and (III).



As expected, in compounds (I)–(III), 11-vertex *nido* clusters are produced, the methoxy group is found to be on atom



Figure 1

The molecular structure of (I) with the atom-numbering scheme and 30% probability displacement ellipsoids. Borane H atoms are shown as small spheres of arbitrary radii and the remainder have been omitted for clarity.



Figure 2

The molecular structure of (II) with the atom-numbering scheme and 30% probability displacement ellipsoids. Borane H atoms are shown as small spheres of arbitrary radii and the remainder have been omitted for clarity.

B8 in (I), and the isopropoxy groups are on atom B8 in (II) and atom B9 in (III). The intramolecular distances and angles, and even the orientations of the PPh₃ ligands, are essentially the same in (I)–(III). The Pt–B distances vary in the range



Figure 3

The molecular structure of (III) with the atom-numbering scheme and 30% probability displacement ellipsoids. Borane H atoms are shown as small spheres of arbitrary radii and the remainder have been omitted for clarity.

2.214 (7)–2.303 (7) Å in (I), 2.178 (16)–2.326 (16) Å in (II) and 2.205 (6)–2.327 (6) Å in (III); these ranges are similar to but slightly longer than those of the unsubstituted cluster [(PMe₂Ph)₂PtB₁₀H₁₂] [2.214 (5)–2.301 (6) Å; Boocock *et al.*, 1981], probably as another consequence of the presence of the alkoxy groups. With regard to the B–O bond lengths, the present values are both close to each other and in the range of those reported for cage–B–O*R* groups (1.371–1.409 Å; Kennedy, 1986).

The present three structures, along with the related examples described above, suggest that the reaction of $PtX_2(PR_3)_2$ in alcohols is so complex that alcohols could attack on the (opened) cage intermediates from different positions. It should be noted that a number of the 1,2-bis(diphenyl-phosphinoethane) and PMe₂Ph relatives of the title compounds have been prepared, namely, the mono-substituted 8-OR or 9-OR compounds (*R* is Me or Et), but these have unfortunately not been structurally characterized (Kennedy, 1986).

Experimental

The synthesis of compound (I) was carried out by the reaction of $[RuCl_2(PPh_3)_3]$ (0.38 g, 0.4 mmol), $[PtCl_2(PPh_3)_2]$ (0.32 g, 0.4 mmol) and $(NEt_4)_2B_{10}H_{10}$ (0.16 g, 0.4 mmol) in refluxing methanol (65 ml) for 68 h under dry nitrogen. The resulting mixture was filtered. The yellow precipitate was dissolved in a minimum of dichloromethane and chromatographed using dichloromethane/light petroleum (b.p. 303–333 K) (4:1) as the eluting medium to give compound (I) ($R_F = 0.72$). Compounds (II) and (III) were synthesized by the reaction of $[PtCl_2(PPh_3)_2]$ (0.284 g, 0.35 mmol) and $(NEt_4)_2B_{10}H_{10}$ (0.265 g, 0.7 mmol) with ^{*i*}PrOH under reflux for 132 h under an atmosphere of dry nitrogen. After filtration, the resulting solution was reduced in volume and chromatographed using dichloromethane/light petrolem (4:1) as the eluting medium to give the two compounds at $R_F = 0.83$ for (II) and $R_F = 0.45$ for (III). All three products were recrystallized from *n*-hexane–dichloromethane solution.

Compound (I)

Crystal data [Pt(CH₁₄B₁₀O)(C₁₈H₁₅P)₂]--0.5CH₂Cl₂ $M_r = 912.32$ Monoclinic, $P2_1/n$ a = 12.850 (2) Å b = 25.824 (7) Å c = 13.308 (2) Å $\beta = 105.770$ (10)° V = 4249.9 (15) Å³ Z = 4

Data collection

Rigaku AFC-7*R* diffractometer $\theta/2\theta$ scans Absorption correction: ψ scan (North *et al.*, 1968) $T_{min} = 0.423$, $T_{max} = 0.544$ 7336 measured reflections 6984 independent reflections 5607 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $D_x = 1.426 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo K\alpha radiation Cell parameters from 25 reflections $\theta = 6.8-10.8^{\circ}$ $\mu = 3.47 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 293 (2) K Prism, yellow $0.3 \times 0.2 \times 0.2 \text{ mm}$

 $\begin{aligned} R_{\rm int} &= 0.048\\ \theta_{\rm max} &= 25^\circ\\ h &= 0 \rightarrow 13\\ k &= 0 \rightarrow 30\\ l &= -15 \rightarrow 15\\ 3 \text{ standard reflections}\\ \text{ every 200 reflections}\\ \text{ intensity decay: } -1.3\% \end{aligned}$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.037$ $wR(F^2) = 0.105$ S = 1.046984 reflections 495 parameters H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement

Compound (II)

Crystal data

 $[Pt(C_{3}H_{18}B_{10}O)(C_{18}H_{15}P)_{2}]\cdot CH_{2}Cl_{2}$ $M_{r} = 982.83$ Monoclinic, P_{2}/n a = 11.114 (3) Å b = 21.634 (8) Å c = 18.990 (8) Å $\beta = 103.75$ (3)° V = 4435 (3) Å³ Z = 4

Data collection

Enraf-Nonius CAD-4 diffractometer $\theta/2\theta$ scans Absorption correction: ψ scan (North *et al.*, 1968) $T_{min} = 0.330, T_{max} = 0.797$ 8141 measured reflections 7894 independent reflections 4066 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.069$ $wR(F^2) = 0.238$ S = 1.387894 reflections 507 parameters

Compound (III)

Crystal data

 $[Pt(C_3H_{18}B_{10}O)(C_{18}H_{15}P)_2] \cdot CH_2Cl_2$ $M_r = 982.83$ Monoclinic, $P_{2_1/n}$ a = 13.435 (3) Å b = 17.864 (4) Å c = 19.383 (4) Å $\beta = 105.94$ (2)° V = 4473.1 (17) Å³ Z = 4

Data collection

Rigaku AFC-7*R* diffractometer $\theta/2\theta$ scans Absorption correction: ψ scan (North *et al.*, 1968) $T_{min} = 0.320, T_{max} = 0.413$ 8222 measured reflections 7865 independent reflections 6198 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
$$\begin{split} &w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o{}^2) + (0.0601P)^2 \\ &+ 4.5436P] \\ &where \ P = (F_o{}^2 + 2F_c{}^2)/3 \\ (\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.030 \\ \Delta\rho_{\rm max} = 1.98 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}{}^{-3} \\ \Delta\rho_{\rm min} = -1.71 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}{}^{-3} \end{split}$$

 $D_x = 1.472 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo K\alpha radiation Cell parameters from 25 reflections $\theta = 9-13.2^{\circ}$ $\mu = 3.39 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 293 (2) KPlate, yellow $0.42 \times 0.27 \times 0.07 \text{ mm}$

 $\begin{aligned} R_{\text{int}} &= 0.081\\ \theta_{\text{max}} &= 25.1^{\circ}\\ h &= -13 \rightarrow 12\\ k &= 0 \rightarrow 25\\ l &= 0 \rightarrow 22\\ 3 \text{ standard reflections}\\ \text{every 200 reflections}\\ \text{intensity decay: 5.4\%} \end{aligned}$

H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.1P)^2]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} = 0.001$ $\Delta\rho_{max} = 2.19 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$ $\Delta\rho_{min} = -3.02 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$

 $D_x = 1.459 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo K\alpha radiation Cell parameters from 22 reflections $\theta = 9.1-10.8^{\circ}$ $\mu = 3.36 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 293 (2) K Square prism, red $0.44 \times 0.34 \times 0.32 \text{ mm}$

 $R_{int} = 0.048$ $\theta_{max} = 25^{\circ}$ $h = 0 \rightarrow 15$ $k = 0 \rightarrow 21$ $l = -23 \rightarrow 22$ 3 standard reflections every 200 reflections intensity decay: 0.3%

Refinement

```
Refinement on F^2

R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.035

wR(F^2) = 0.096

S = 1.03

7865 reflections

549 parameters

H atoms treated by a mixture of

independent and constrained

refinement
```

$$\begin{split} &w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0575P)^2 \\ &+ 0.5166P] \\ &where \ P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3 \\ (\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.002 \\ \Delta\rho_{\rm max} = 2.30 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3} \\ \Delta\rho_{\rm min} = -0.99 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3} \end{split}$$

H atoms, other than the B–H–B bridging atoms, were treated as riding, with B–H(general), C–H(phenyl), C–H(–CH), C–H(–CH₂) and C–H(–CH₃) distances of 1.10, 0.93, 0.98, 0.97 and 0.96 Å, respectively. In all three compounds, the bridging H atoms were refined isotropically.

For compounds (I) and (III), data collection: *MSC/AFC Diffract*ometer Control Software (Molecular Structure Corporation, 1992); cell refinement: *MSC/AFC Diffractometer Control Software*; data reduction: *TEXSAN* (Molecular Structure Corporation, 1985). For compound (II), data collection: *CAD-4 Manual* (Enraf–Nonius, 1988); cell refinement: *CAD-4 Manual*; data reduction: *SDP-Plus* (Frenz, 1985). For all three compounds, program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 1990); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: *ORTEP-3* (Farrugia, 1996); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXL97*.

We thank the National Natural Science Foundation of China for support of this work (No. 29873009).

Supplementary data for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: GD1151). Services for accessing these data are described at the back of the journal.

References

- Barton, L. & Srivastava, D. K. (1995). Comprehensive Organometallic Chemistry II, edited by E. W. Abel, F. G. A. Stone & G. Wilkinson, Vol. 1, ch. 8. Oxford: Pergamon Press.
- Boocock, S. K., Greenwood, N. N., Kennedy, J. D., McDonald, W. S. & Staves, J. (1981). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 2573–2584.
- Enraf-Nonius (1988). *CAD*-4 *Manual*. Version 5.0. Enraf-Nonius, Delft, The Netherlands.
- Farrugia, L. J (1996). ORTEP-3. Version 1.03. University of Glasgow, Scotland.
- Frenz, B. A. (1985). *SDP-Plus*. Version 3.0. Enraf-Nonius, Delft, The Netherlands.
- Gaft, Yu. L., Ustynyuk, Yu. A., Borisenko, A. A. & Kuznetsov, N. T. (1983). *Zh. Neorg. Khim.* 28, 2234–2239.
- Kennedy, J. D. (1986). Prog. Inorg. Chem. 34, 211-434.
- Marshall, M. P., Hunt, R. M., Hefferan, G. T., Adams, R. M. & Makhlouf, J. M. (1967). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 89, 3361–3362.
- Molecular Structure Corporation (1985). *TEXSAN*. MSC, 3200 Research Forest Drive, The Woodlands, TX 77381, USA.
- Molecular Structure Corporation (1992). MSC/AFC Diffractometer Control Software. Version 5.32. MSC, 3200 Research Forest Drive, The Woodlands, TX 77381, USA.
- Muetterties, E. L. & Knoth, W. H. (1968). *Polyhedral Boranes*, pp. 104–133. New York: Marcel Dekker.
- North, A. C. T., Phillips, D. C. & Mathews, F. S. (1968). Acta Cryst. A24, 351–359.
- Paxson, T. E. & Hawthorne, M. F. (1975). Inorg. Chem. 14, 1604–1607.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1990). Acta Cryst. A46, 467-473.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany.